

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/069,785	Applicant(s) AHOLA ET AL.	
	Examiner Carlos A. Azpuru	Art Unit 1615	

All Participants:

(1) Carlos A. Azpuru.

(2) James C. Lydon.
Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 August 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
all
Claims discussed:
all
Prior art documents discussed:
Tuch, Ducheyne et al, and Mcpherson et al
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that the material claims 18-19 would be cancelled, and claims 20-29 would be amended to read on the device. The prior art shows the material composition, but neither teaches, nor fairly discloses the specific assymetric coating of the instant claims. .